

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,848	05/09/2001	Andrew C. Gilbert	CF/033	3671	
64558	7590 09/25/2006		EXAMINER		
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP ROPES &GRAY LLP			AKINTOLA, OLABODE		
	E OF THE AMERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10020-1105	3624			

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/85	1,848	GILBERT ET AL.	GILBERT ET AL.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Olaboo	le Akintola	3624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. ututory period will apply ar will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may ad will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 18 July 2006						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-18,23,26-29 and 31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) 1-11, 19, 21-22 and 32-35 is/are rejected.							
7)	r) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or electio	n requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or	· b)□ objected t	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			io(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/23/02 & 3/25/03</u> .		6) 🔲 Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 09/851,848

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-8, filed 7/18/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-11 and 19-22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-11, 19, 21-22 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Alaia et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6230147).

Re claims 1-11, 19, 21-22 and 32-33: Alaia teaches a method comprising: receiving a first bid or offer to trade an item; receiving a second bid or offer to trade the item, the first and second bids or offers each submitted by a trader and each specifying a price (col. 26, lines 35-55); receiving a request to cancel the first bid or offer (col. 26, lines 1-16); determining a difference between the

Application/Control Number: 09/851,848

Art Unit: 3624

price of the first bid or offer and the price of the second bid or offer; and suspending the second bid or offer if the price difference is greater than a predetermined amount (col. 10, lines 24-29); wherein suspending trading further comprising setting a cooling off period (Abstract; col. 18, lines 1-15); allowing the second trader to respond to the second bid or offer upon completion of the cooling off period (col. 18, lines 1-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alaia as applied in claim 1 above, and further in view of Applicant's own admitted prior art (see the specification, page 2, lines 7-30).

Re claims 34-35: Alaia does not explicitly teach the step wherein at least one of the first and the second bids or offers are submitted during a period wherein the trader has exclusivity; wherein at

Application/Control Number: 09/851,848

Art Unit: 3624

least one of the first and the second bids or offers are submitted during a period wherein the trader cannot cancel bids or offers. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches these steps (page 2, lines 7-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Alaia to include these steps as taught by Applicant's own admitted prior art. One would have been motivated to do so in order to afford the bidder the right of first refusal to a trade at certain level. Also, in order to give other bidders an opportunity to respond to the bids and offers before they can be cancelled by the bidders that submitted them.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olabode Akintola whose telephone number is 571-272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,848 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OA

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER